|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| LEQ: Was Reagan's speech effective in convincing his audience  to support his vision for the nation’s arsenal?  (include lesson vocabulary such as: concession, rebuttal, counterargument, ethos, logos, pathos  This is not a simple yes or no question - you must analyze, evaluate, and justify your position) | | | |
| **Name** | **Performance level 2** | **Performance Level 1** | **Performance level 0** |
| Evaluation of Author’s argument (RI 3) | Student completely articulated a defensible conclusion based on source information. | Student identifies a conclusion, but it may be incompletely articulated or not completely defensible. | The student did not identify a conclusion or identified an indefensible interpretation. |
| Use of Ethos and Pathos (RI 4, 6) | Student adequately explains how and why the author created/maintained ethos and employed pathos to enhance the argument. | Student somewhat explains how and why the author created ethos and employed pathos to enhance the argument. | Student does not explain how and why the author created ethos and employed pathos to enhance the argument. |
| Argument Validity  (RI 8) | Student adequately explains how the author met the criteria for valid information by examining his support and possible logical fallacies and connects the validity to the argument. . | Student explains how the author does or does not have valid reasoning by identifying the support and possible logical fallacies, but doesn’t fully connect the validity to the argument. | The student does not indicate an understanding of how to evaluate the speech for logical fallacies or how the speech’s reasoning validity connects to the argument. |
| Quality of Details  (RI 1) | The student selected the appropriate amount of the **best** details for supporting the student’s conclusion and discussion of the use of ethos, logos, and pathos. | The student selected some details but they were not fully adequate for supporting the student’s conclusion and discussion of the use of ethos, logos, and pathos. | The student selected no details or irrelevant details. |
| Writing Quality  (W 2) | Response is coherently written with few or no errors in standard English conventions and it is well organized. . | Response is written with limited errors in standard English conventions. Errors slow down comprehension. Response may not be well organized or coherent. | Response is poorly crafted with many errors in standard English conventions. Comprehension is limited due to errors. |